TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

Melvin Malone, Chairman Lynn Greer, Director Sara Kyle, Director



REO'D TN
REO'James Robertson Parkway
Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505
'99 JUL 15 PM 3 41

NOTICE OF PRE-ARBITRATION CONFERENCE

<u>CE</u> CALLOS OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

DOCKET NO:

99-00377

PETITIONER:

ICG Telecom Group, Inc. / Henry Walker, Esq.

IN RE:

Petition for Arbitration of ICG Telecom Group with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Pursuant to the Telecommunications

Act of 1996

DATE:

July 15, 1999

This Pre-Arbitration Conference in the above-captioned matter is hereby scheduled for <u>Tuesday</u>, <u>July 20, 1999</u>, <u>at 9:30 a.m.</u> for the purpose discussing pre-arbitration matters, including the following:

- 1) Determination of issues;
- 2) Determination of past Authority decisions;
- 3) Positions of Parties and supporting comments filed by brief or testimony
- 4) Reply comments of the Parties filed by brief or testimony; and
- 5) Arbitration Hearing dates and schedule to completion.
- 6) Procedural matters.

The Pre-Arbitration Conference is being conducted before Counsel Gary Hotvedt, Designated as Pre-Arbitration Officer, in the ground floor Hearing Room at the Tennessee Regulatory Authority, 460 James Robertson Parkway, Nashville, Tennessee. All parties are entitled to be represented by counsel.

We have reviewed the issues submitted by the parties in this arbitration request. While the issues presented to the TRA may be clear to the parties, some are unclear or ambiguous to the TRA Staff who did not participate in or monitor the negotiations. The attached document, prepared by the Staff, attempts to clarify our understanding of the disputed issues and restate them in a clear and concise format so that a decision may be reached by the arbitrators in a more timely manner. It is not our intent to compromise the positions or requests of the parties.

With this in mind, please review the Staff's submission and be prepared to discuss your position on these revisions at the pre-arbitration conference. ICG should provide, in writing, the information requested by the Staff on the attached issues matrix <u>underlined in italics</u>. ICG should bring copies

of this information in sufficient quantity to provide each participant a copy. Both parties should ensure your company's technical and/or subject matter experts are present at this pre-arbitration conference to discuss and clarify the issues. Be prepared also to discuss the history of the negotiations, discuss the status of current negotiations for each issue and identify any issues that may have been resolved since your most recent submission. We will be relying on previous arbitrations decided by the Authority in our discussions. If any of the parties need copies of these, please call Carsie Mundy at 741-2791 ext. 166.

Any motion to change the date of this Hearing must be made in writing and filed with the office of the Executive Secretary of the Authority. Copies of the motion must be served on all parties.

Participants with disabilities who require special accommodations or alternate communications formats should contact the Tennessee Regulatory Authority ADA-EEO/AA Coordinator/Officer, 460 James Robertson Parkway, Nashville, TN 37243-0505, 1-800-342-8359 or TDD 741-3930 so that reasonable accommodations can be made.

FOR THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

K. David Waddell, Executive Secretary

KIDD Waldell

cc: Parties of Record Attachment (1)

ICG/BST ARBITRATION DOCKET 99-00377

GENERAL ISSUES	ICG	BST
Issue 1: For the purposes of this agreement, should	Yes.	No.
dial-up calls to Internet service providers ("ISPs")		
treated as if they were local calls for purposes of		
reciprocal compensation?		
Issue 2: Should the amount paid by ICG in the Bona	Yes. The first carrier	No. BST has no
Fide Request process, to cover the cost of developing a	to request the service	control over who
project plan, be offset by payments from other parties	should not bear the	submits the first
who subsequently request and receive the same	total cost when other	BFR or even if any
service at a reduced rate? If so, what is ICG	carriers will	other BFRs will be
requesting to be offset? Does ICG have a method in	subsequently request	received. BST
mind for offsetting costs?	the same service and	contends that any
	not have to pay their	procedures to
	share.	allocate costs will
		be laborious and
		expensive.
UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS		
Issue 3: Should BellSouth make available	Yes.	BST agrees to
Packet-switching capabilities as UNEs throughout the		comply with ICG's
term of the contract, including: (a) user-to-network		request until the
interface ("UNI") at 56 kbps, 64 kbps, 128 kbps, 256		FCC issues a final
kbps, 384 kbps, 1.544 Mbps, 44.736 Mbps; (b)		non-appealable
network-to-network interface ("NNI") at 56 kbps, 64		order on Rule
kbps, 1.544 Mbs, 44.736 Mbps; and (c) data link		51.319 and with
control identifiers ("DLCIs"), at committed		other unidentified
information rates ("CIRs") of 0 kbps, 8 kbps, 9.6		limitations.
kbps, 16 kbps, 19.2 kbps, 28 kbps, 32 kbps, 56 kbps,		
64 kbps, 128 kbps, 192 kbps, 256 kbps, 320 kbps, 384		
kbps, 448 kbps, 512 kbps, 576 kbps, 640 kbps, 704		
kbps, 768 kbps, 832 kbps, 896 kbps, 960 kbps, 1.024		
Mbps, 1.088 Mbps, 1.152 Mbps, 1.216 Mbps, 1.280		
Mbps, 1.344 Mbps, 1.408 Mbps, 1.472 Mbps, 1.536		
Mbps, 1.544 Mbps, Mbps, 3.088 Mbps, 4.632 Mbps,		
6.176 Mbps, 7.720 Mbps, 9.264 Mbps, 10.808 Mbps,		
12.350 Mbps, 13.896 Mbps, 15.440 Mbps, 16.984		
Mbps, 18.528 Mbps, 20.072 Mbps? If so, what are the		
proposed rates?		
Issue 4: Should a local loop combined with dedicated	Yes.	No. BellSouth,
transport be provided as a UNE? If so, what is the		however, is willing
proposed rate?		to provide this
		combination
		through commercial
		agreement.

ICG/BST ARBITRATION DOCKET 99-00377

UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS	ICG	BST
Issue 5: Should BellSouth be subject to liquidated	Yes.	No. Liquidated
damages or other concessions or remedies for failing		damages are not
to meet the time intervals for provisioning UNEs? If		within the TRA's
so, what level of damages, concessions or remedies are		authority. State law
appropiate? What time intervals?		and TRA
		procedures are
		available to address
		breaches of
		contract.
Issue 6: Should volume and term discounts be	Yes.	No.
available for UNEs? Have specific volumes and terms		
for given items been identified? If so, what are they?		
INTERCONNECTION	ICG	BST
Issue 7: Should ICG be compensated for end office,	Yes. In accordance	No. If a call is not
tandem, and transport elements of termination, for	with FCC Rule 47	handled by a switch
purposes of reciprocal compensation, when ICG's	CFR Section	on a tandem basis,
switch serves a geographic area comparable to the	51.711(a)(3).	it is not appropiate
area served by BellSouth's tandem switch? If so,		to pay reciprocal
according to what schedule or at what rate?		compensation for
		the tandem
		switching function.
Issue 8: Removed by Staff. Identical to Issue 1.		
Issue 9: How often should the PLU and PIU be	Monthly.	Quarterly, as
calculated and reported?		required by tariff.
Issue 10: Should BellSouth provide a breakdown of	Yes.	No. Agreement
the intrastate and interstate traffic to ICG?		provides for annual
Specifically, what information does ICG seek and why?		audits.
Issue 11: Should BellSouth commit to the requisite	Yes.	No.
network buildout and necessary support when ICG		
agrees to a binding forecast of its traffic requirements		
in a specified period?		
COLLOCATION		
Issue 12: Should ICG be permitted to engineer and	Yes.	No.
install equipment in ICG's own collocation space? If		
so, is ICG required to be a certified vendor?		

ICG/BST ARBITRATION DOCKET 99-00377

COLLOCATION	ICG	BST
Issue 13: Should the "certified vendor" process be	Yes.	No.
waived or expedited in favor of ICG employees		
whenever there are fewer than fifty (50) certified		
vendors in a designated area, and/or when a "certified		
vendor" is unable to perform the collocation work on		
a timely basis pursuant to ICG's needs? <u>ICG should</u>		
define the terms "expedited" and "timely basis".		
Issue 14: Where ICG is collocated in the BST central	No.	Yes.
office, should a "certified vendor" be required to		
cross connect ICG's equipment with the equipment of		
another telecommunications carrier that desires such		
a connection when the cable facilities transverse an		
equipment area?		
Issue 15: Should a security escort, paid for by ICG,	No.	Yes.
be required for any site visits following the initial pre-		
installation visit and prior to space acceptance?		
Issue 16: Should charges required for the transition	Yes.	No.
of ICG's equipment from virtual collocation to		
physical collocation be limited to the actual costs of		
physical labor in making the transition plus records		
changes?		
Issue 17: Should ICG be allowed to sublease its	Yes.	No.
uncaged collocation space located on BellSouth's		
premises?		
NUMBER PORTABILITY	DT 1	D-11C4
Issue 18: How long after transferring a customer to	Not stated.	BellSouth proposes
ICG should BellSouth update its records? Have any		to transfer ICG's
time periods been proposed by the parties?		customers at parity
		with other CLECs
		and itself.

ICG/BST ARBITRATION DOCKET 99-00377

PERFORMANCE STANDARDS/MEASURES	ICG	BST
Issue 19-26: Should the following installation	Undetermined	Undetermined
benchmarks, provisioning benchmarks, maintenance		
benchmarks, performance measures and liquidated		
damages be approved by the arbitrators? This		
information needs to be provided by ICG.		

NOTE: The above issues have been restated by the Staff in an attempt to clarify and make them more specific. The questions and statements included above <u>underlined and in italics</u> were added by the Staff and refer to additional information the Staff feels is needed in order to arbitrate the issue.